



## **Civil Society and Participatory Governance: Between Constitutional Principles and Practical Implementation**

SAID BOUTAYEB

Laboratoire des études stratégiques et analyses juridiques et  
politiques – Fes

Maroc

### **◇ Introduction**

#### **1. Context and Importance of Participatory Democracy in Modern Governance**

In the contemporary era, governance has undergone a substantial transformation from being an exclusive domain of elected representatives and public officials to a more inclusive and participatory model that seeks to actively engage citizens in public decision-making. This shift is underpinned by the increasing recognition of participatory democracy as a normative ideal and a practical necessity in democratic consolidation. Participatory democracy goes beyond periodic electoral exercises, emphasizing continuous engagement, deliberation, and cooperation between the state and its citizens. This paradigm repositions civil society as a central actor, bridging the gap between institutions and the populace, and contributing to more responsive, transparent, and accountable governance.

The Moroccan context, particularly since the constitutional reforms of 2011, exemplifies this global trend. The new constitutional order acknowledges participatory democracy as a fundamental pillar of the political system. Articles 12, 13, and 14 of the Moroccan Constitution expressly provide for the involvement of citizens and civil society organizations (CSOs) in the formulation, implementation, and evaluation of public policies. These provisions aim to institutionalize participatory practices and foster a governance culture anchored in inclusivity and civic engagement.

#### **2. Evolution of Civil Society in Democratic Systems**

The emergence and consolidation of civil society as a normative and empirical category are closely linked to the historical development of democratic regimes. In the West, civil society has traditionally been associated with autonomous spaces of citizen organization



and expression, operating independently from the state and market. The role of civil society has evolved from that of a counterweight to state power to a constructive partner in policy-making and service delivery.

In the Moroccan context, the concept of civil society has undergone a dynamic evolution, particularly since the 1990s. Initially perceived with suspicion and subject to strict control, civil society actors have gradually gained legitimacy and institutional recognition. The constitutional reforms of 2011 marked a watershed moment, positioning civil society as a vital component of the national governance architecture. This transition was not merely symbolic; it entailed the creation of new participatory instruments and legal frameworks that enable civic actors to contribute meaningfully to public affairs.

Comparatively, countries like Brazil and Tunisia have also witnessed a significant rise in the role of civil society in governance. Brazil's participatory budgeting initiatives and Tunisia's post-revolution national dialogue serve as illustrative examples of how civil society can shape political outcomes and democratic trajectories.

### **3. Research Question and Objectives**

Despite the constitutional recognition and legal entrenchment of participatory governance in Morocco, the implementation gap remains stark. This paper seeks to investigate the extent to which participatory governance has been effectively operationalized in the Moroccan legal and institutional context. Specifically, it aims to:

- Analyze the constitutional and legal foundations of participatory governance in Morocco.
- Assess the mechanisms through which civil society contributes to public decision-making.
- Identify the structural and functional challenges that hinder effective participation.
- Propose reforms and strategies to strengthen participatory governance in Morocco.

### **4. Methodological Approach**

This research adopts a qualitative legal-analytical methodology grounded in doctrinal analysis and comparative constitutional law. It draws on primary legal sources, including constitutional texts, organic laws, and judicial decisions, as well as secondary academic literature, reports by international organizations, and empirical case studies. The study is structured around a three-axis framework: theoretical and constitutional foundations;



practical roles and mechanisms of civil society; and challenges and future perspectives for participatory governance. Comparative insights from countries with similar experiences will be mobilized to enrich the analysis and identify transferable lessons for the Moroccan context.

By adopting this approach, the study aims to provide a comprehensive and critical evaluation of the normative and practical dimensions of participatory governance, highlighting both its promises and limitations within the Moroccan constitutional order.

## **I. Theoretical and Constitutional Foundations of Participatory Governance**

### **1. Definition and conceptual framework**

Participatory governance has emerged as a crucial paradigm in the evolution of democratic theory and practice. Unlike the traditional model of representative democracy, where elected officials hold the exclusive mandate to decide on behalf of citizens, participatory governance envisions an active role for individuals and civil society in shaping public policies and holding institutions accountable. This model is grounded in the principle that democracy is not merely a mechanism for electing representatives but also a continuous process of citizen engagement in public decision-making.

### **Representative Democracy vs. Participatory Democracy**

Representative democracy is based on the delegation of authority from the people to their elected officials, typically through periodic elections. It ensures stability and efficiency in large-scale governance systems but often suffers from a "democratic deficit" when institutions become distant or unresponsive to public needs.

In contrast, participatory democracy emphasizes **direct involvement** of citizens in decisions that affect their lives. It complements representation by embedding mechanisms such as deliberation, co-decision, and oversight directly into the political process.

### **Key Concepts**

- **Civic Participation:** Encompasses a wide array of actions—from voting and public protests to participation in deliberative forums—through which citizens influence political outcomes. It is the lifeblood of participatory governance.
- **Public Consultation:** This refers to institutionalized procedures that allow citizens to express their views on draft laws, public policies, or local initiatives before they are enacted. It enhances transparency and fosters legitimacy.



- **Co–decision:** A more advanced form of participation in which citizens or civil society representatives are formally integrated into decision–making bodies or processes, sharing authority with governmental institutions.

These concepts underscore a shift in governance from a model that "speaks for" citizens to one that "works with" them.

## 2. Constitutional Recognition of Participatory Governance

The embedding of participatory governance within constitutional texts marks a significant normative and legal transformation. It elevates civic engagement from a policy choice to a constitutional imperative.

### Comparative

### Constitutional

### Perspectives

Many modern constitutions have recognized the necessity of integrating participatory principles. For instance:

- **Brazil's 1988 Constitution** is renowned for its participatory architecture, including mechanisms like participatory budgeting and the right of civil society to propose legislation.
- **South Africa's Constitution (1996)** requires public involvement in legislative processes (Sections 59, 72, and 118), setting a global standard for participatory rights.
- **Switzerland**, through its direct democracy system, incorporates referenda and popular initiatives as central mechanisms for citizen influence.

### Moroccan Constitution of 2011

The 2011 constitutional reform in Morocco marked a historic turning point, explicitly institutionalizing participatory governance. Notably:

- **Article 12** recognizes the role of civil society in the preparation, implementation, and evaluation of public policies.
- **Articles 13 to 15** establish citizens' rights to present **petitions** and **legislative motions**, and provide for **consultative bodies** at both national and local levels.



- **Preamble and General Principles** reinforce the commitment to participatory democracy as a pillar of good governance, transparency, and accountability.

These provisions transformed the Moroccan legal landscape, requiring legislative and institutional adaptations to ensure effective implementation.

### 3. Legal Instruments and Institutional Mechanisms

For participatory governance to function, constitutional recognition must be translated into actionable legal norms and institutional practices.

#### Legal Frameworks

- **Organic Laws:** Notably, **Organic Law No. 44.14** on petitions to public authorities and **Organic Law No. 64.14** on legislative motions provided the procedural details needed to activate the rights enshrined in the Constitution.
- **Charter of Citizen Participation:** Several municipalities, in compliance with the Constitution and Law No. 113.14 on local authorities, have adopted charters defining modes and procedures for citizen involvement at the local level.
- **Ministerial Decrees and Circulars** have also supplemented the legal corpus by regulating consultations and the establishment of advisory bodies.

#### Institutional Mechanisms

- At the **national level**, participatory bodies such as the **Economic, Social and Environmental Council (CESE)** serve as advisory institutions that integrate civil society into policy dialogue.
- At the **local level**, **communal consultative bodies** (articles 119–120 of Law No. 113.14) enable direct interaction between citizens and local government on issues like development planning and service delivery.
- **Digital Platforms:** Recent initiatives such as the "**Choukran**" platform have been launched to facilitate citizen feedback and oversight, representing a step toward e-participation.

## II. The Role of Civil Society in Promoting Participatory Governance<sup>1</sup>. Civil society as a mediator between citizens and institutions



## 1. Civil Society as a Mediator Between Citizens and Institutions

Civil society occupies a pivotal position in the architecture of participatory governance. It acts as a bridge that channels citizens' voices, concerns, and proposals toward formal political institutions. In doing so, civil society organizations (CSOs) not only facilitate civic engagement but also contribute to the legitimacy and inclusiveness of governance processes.

### NGOs, Associations, and Grassroots Movements as Civic Actors

Civil society in Morocco and other developing democracies is characterized by a diverse array of actors including non-governmental organizations (NGOs), professional associations, women's rights collectives, environmental advocacy groups, youth forums, and local community organizations. These entities operate at multiple levels:

- **At the national level**, they engage in policy debates, propose legislation, and monitor government action.
- **At the local level**, they mobilize communities, participate in municipal planning, and promote grassroots accountability.

The post-2011 constitutional reforms in Morocco, which elevated civil society's role in public affairs, have contributed to a noticeable expansion in civic organization and action.

### Participation in Public Consultations and Policy Design

Civil society organizations are increasingly invited to participate in formal consultations organized by public authorities. Examples include:

- The involvement of women's associations in the drafting of the **National Plan for Democracy and Human Rights**.
- Consultations led by the **Ministry of Interior** and **municipal councils** on territorial development plans, where local associations provided expertise and voiced citizens' needs.

Such participatory processes are often structured through thematic workshops, public hearings, or representation in deliberative committees.

## 2. Tools and Mechanisms of Participation

The effectiveness of civil society in participatory governance is closely linked to the availability and quality of institutionalized mechanisms that enable civic engagement.



## Petitions, Public Hearings, Participatory Budgeting, and Deliberative Councils

- **Petitions:** Under Organic Law No. 44.14, citizens and CSOs can submit petitions to public authorities, which must meet formal criteria to be considered. Although promising, the process has been criticized for being overly procedural and inaccessible to less organized groups.
- **Public Hearings:** These allow civil society to express positions on draft laws and policy reforms. Their use, however, varies widely depending on the level of political will and administrative capacity.
- **Participatory Budgeting:** Pioneered in Latin America and recently piloted in Moroccan cities like **Tétouan**, this mechanism enables citizens to decide directly on the allocation of a portion of the municipal budget.
- **Deliberative Councils:** Created at the communal level (as per Law No. 113.14), these councils include representatives of civil society who consult on strategic projects. However, they often suffer from limited influence and weak institutional integration.

## Use of Digital Platforms in Civic Engagement

Digital technologies have opened new avenues for civic participation:

- **Platforms like "Choukran"** allow citizens to report issues, suggest improvements, or provide feedback to public officials.
- **Online petition tools**, though still developing in the region, are growing in popularity, especially among youth-led movements.
- **Social media** plays a dual role: as a mobilization tool for advocacy campaigns and as a space for public deliberation.

These digital tools can democratize access to participation, but they also raise challenges related to digital literacy, unequal access, and information credibility.

## 3. Case Studies from Local or National Contexts

### Morocco

In Morocco, the implementation of participatory governance mechanisms has varied across municipalities:





- In **Marrakech**, local councils have involved CSOs in designing urban policies related to culture and heritage.
- In **Rabat**, youth organizations were included in deliberative sessions to define public space usage.
- While these examples reflect progress, civil society actors frequently report procedural opacity and limited responsiveness from public institutions.

### **Brazil**

Brazil remains a global reference for participatory governance, particularly through:

- **Participatory budgeting** in Porto Alegre.
  - **National public policy councils** involving civil society in areas like health, education, and environment.
- Despite political fluctuations, Brazil's experience highlights the transformative potential of embedding civil society in governance structures.

### **Tunisia**

Post-2011 Tunisia has seen a flourishing of civil society participation, especially in transitional justice and local governance. The **Constitution of 2014** recognizes civic participation and mandates local authorities to adopt participatory approaches. Yet, challenges persist in translating legal provisions into effective practices.

### **Successes and Limitations in Real Participatory Practices**

Successes include enhanced transparency, increased civic trust, and policy innovations informed by local knowledge. However, key limitations remain:

- **Institutional resistance** and bureaucratic inertia.
- **Tokenism**, where participation is symbolic rather than substantive.
- **Lack of capacity**, both in public institutions and among CSOs, to sustain complex participatory processes.

## **III. Challenges and Perspectives for Effective Implementation**

### **1. Structural and institutional challenges**

#### **1. Structural and Institutional Challenges**





Despite constitutional recognition and legal reforms supporting participatory governance, implementation on the ground remains fraught with deep-seated obstacles. These stem largely from institutional inertia and systemic limitations within public administration.

### **Bureaucratic Resistance, Lack of Political Will, and Limited Access to Information**

One of the most cited barriers to effective civic engagement in Morocco and similar contexts is **bureaucratic resistance**. Many public officials view participatory mechanisms as constraints rather than opportunities, often perceiving them as threats to administrative autonomy or efficiency. This is compounded by **limited political will** at both the national and local levels. While participation is endorsed in principle, it is frequently marginalized in practice due to clientelist networks, political calculations, or fear of dissent.

Another key challenge is **access to information**. Although Morocco adopted **Law No. 31.13** on the right of access to information, its implementation remains inconsistent. Without timely, reliable, and comprehensible information, citizens and civil society cannot meaningfully contribute to decision-making processes.

### **Weak Institutional Capacity to Support Civil Society Engagement**

The capacity of public institutions to facilitate participatory processes is often insufficient. This includes:

- A lack of trained personnel familiar with participatory methods.
- Absence of standardized procedures for consultation and co-decision.
- Limited integration of participatory tools in the decision-making lifecycle.

These institutional weaknesses often result in superficial or ad hoc engagement efforts, undermining the credibility and impact of participatory governance.

## **2. Functional Limitations of Civil Society**

While civil society is crucial to participatory governance, it is not immune to its own set of structural and strategic challenges.



## Issues of Legitimacy, Representation, and Political Independence

Not all civil society organizations (CSOs) equally represent the interests of the broader population. Some are dominated by elites or operate with limited grassroots connection, raising questions about their **representativeness**.

Others may suffer from **political co-optation**, especially in contexts where state funding or legal recognition can be used to exert influence over civic actors. This undermines their **independence**, reducing their effectiveness as watchdogs or policy influencers.

The **fragmentation** of the civil society landscape—characterized by competition for resources and limited coordination—further weakens its ability to act as a unified voice in policy dialogues.

## Funding and Professionalization Challenges

CSOs often operate under severe **financial constraints**, relying on inconsistent donor funding or limited public support. This affects their:

- Ability to sustain long-term engagement.
- Capacity to recruit and retain qualified personnel.
- Investment in tools for monitoring, research, or digital engagement.

Moreover, the lack of **professionalization** in many associations leads to inefficiencies, poor project design, and limited strategic vision, which in turn erodes public trust.

## 3. Toward a Sustainable Participatory Model

Addressing these challenges requires a strategic, multi-dimensional approach aimed at strengthening both the supply (institutions) and demand (citizens and CSOs) sides of participation.

## Recommendations for Improving Legal Frameworks and Civic Education

- **Reform legal instruments** to clarify and simplify procedures for petitions, consultations, and co-decision mechanisms. This includes harmonizing the implementation of Organic Laws with sectoral legislation and local charters.
- **Strengthen enforcement of the right to information** by empowering oversight bodies and ensuring proactive disclosure by public entities.



- **Institutionalize capacity-building programs** for civil servants and CSO actors on participatory methods, legal rights, and inclusive governance.
- **Promote civic education** in schools and community programs to cultivate a culture of engagement, legal awareness, and democratic values from a young age.

### **The Future of Participatory Governance in Democratic Consolidation**

In the context of Morocco's democratic evolution, participatory governance holds immense potential. Its success will depend on:

- **Institutional maturity:** Robust mechanisms and a professional public administration that embraces citizen involvement as an asset.
- **Civic empowerment:** A resilient and independent civil society, supported by adequate funding, legal protections, and access to platforms of influence.
- **Technological integration:** Leveraging digital tools to expand participation, increase transparency, and bridge the gap between citizens and institutions.

Ultimately, participatory governance must be viewed not as a set of isolated procedures but as a **transformative approach** to governance—anchored in mutual accountability, continuous dialogue, and the co-construction of public action. It is through such a model that Morocco and similar systems can reinforce democratic legitimacy, social cohesion, and inclusive development.



## **Conclusion**

Participatory governance, as both a concept and a constitutional commitment, marks a pivotal shift in the evolution of democratic governance models. It transcends traditional electoral logic and introduces a framework where citizens are not merely voters but also active contributors to public decision-making throughout the policy cycle. This transformation has gained considerable traction in many contemporary legal systems, particularly in Morocco, where the 2011 Constitution established a new legal and institutional foundation for civic engagement in public life.

## **Summary of Findings**

The study of participatory governance, from its theoretical roots to its practical implications, reveals a complex, multi-layered dynamic between state institutions, civil society, and citizens. The analysis began by identifying the theoretical underpinnings and constitutional foundations of participatory governance. It was shown that this model, while distinct from representative democracy, complements it by reinforcing democratic legitimacy and enriching the quality of public decisions. Through key concepts such as civic participation, public consultation, and co-decision, participatory governance redefines the relationship between governors and the governed.

The constitutional recognition of these principles has found robust expression in Morocco's 2011 Constitution, particularly in Articles 12 to 15. These articles introduced innovative mechanisms such as petitions, motions, and consultative bodies. Furthermore, comparative insights from Brazil, South Africa, and Tunisia demonstrated that Morocco is part of a broader global trend toward participatory democracy, albeit with varying degrees of success and institutionalization.

The second section examined the role of civil society as a driving force behind participatory processes. It revealed that Moroccan civil society has made substantial contributions to public consultations, policy design, and local governance. Tools such as participatory budgeting and digital platforms have enabled civic actors to engage in new, often innovative ways. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of these tools depends on a conducive legal and institutional environment—something that remains uneven across regions and policy areas.

In the third section, the study critically assessed the challenges impeding the full realization of participatory governance. These include entrenched bureaucratic resistance,



lack of political will, weak institutional capacities, and deficiencies in information access. At the same time, civil society itself faces structural challenges such as questions of legitimacy, financial instability, and insufficient professionalization. Despite the presence of formal mechanisms, these obstacles often render participation symbolic rather than substantive.

Finally, the paper proposed several avenues for reform. These included the need for legal clarity, institutional strengthening, the promotion of civic education, and the strategic use of digital technology to enhance accessibility and responsiveness.

### **Critical Evaluation: The Gap Between Constitutional Ideals and Practice**

The Moroccan experience reflects a wider paradox that affects many constitutional democracies: the divergence between **constitutional ideals** and their **practical implementation**. On paper, Morocco's 2011 Constitution positions the country among progressive nations that have embedded participatory principles at the heart of governance. However, practice reveals a less optimistic picture, marked by inconsistencies, institutional inertia, and fragmented implementation.

This gap can be attributed to several interrelated factors:

#### **1. Formalism versus Substantial Participation**

Legal texts often contain well-articulated participatory rights, but their procedural complexity and administrative formalism discourage meaningful civic engagement. For instance, Organic Laws on petitions and motions impose strict conditions that marginalize grassroots initiatives. This proceduralism undermines the spirit of participation, reducing it to a bureaucratic exercise rather than a democratic practice.

#### **2. Political Instrumentalization**

In certain contexts, participatory mechanisms are co-opted for political purposes. Consultations may be conducted to fulfill legal obligations rather than to genuinely incorporate public input. As a result, participatory governance risks becoming a **symbolic performance**, used to legitimize pre-determined decisions rather than to reshape them based on collective deliberation.

#### **3. Centralization of Power**

Despite formal commitments to decentralization and local democracy, effective decision-making in Morocco remains highly centralized. Local governments often lack



the autonomy, resources, or authority to implement participatory frameworks. This undermines local participatory bodies and reduces their ability to influence policy in a meaningful way.

#### **4. Limited Accountability and Monitoring**

One of the core promises of participatory governance is increased accountability. Yet, mechanisms to monitor the implementation of participatory processes and ensure responsiveness from public authorities are weak or absent. Without clear accountability structures, participatory exercises lose credibility and fail to produce tangible outcomes.

#### **5. Civil Society Fragmentation**

Although Morocco has a vibrant and active civil society, it remains fragmented and uneven in terms of capacity, influence, and independence. Many CSOs struggle to transcend project-based advocacy and to institutionalize their role in policy cycles. This limits their ability to act as sustained and credible partners in governance.

#### **6. Socioeconomic Barriers to Participation**

Beyond legal and institutional dimensions, participatory governance is also hindered by socioeconomic inequalities. Marginalized communities, particularly in rural or impoverished areas, face structural obstacles—illiteracy, lack of digital access, and distrust of institutions—that prevent them from engaging in participatory mechanisms.

#### **7. Digital Divide and Participation Technologies**

While digital platforms have opened new opportunities for engagement, they have also highlighted disparities in access to technology and digital literacy. The potential of these tools remains underutilized, and in some cases, their usage may even reinforce exclusion rather than inclusion.

#### **Towards Bridging the Gap: Strategic Imperatives**

Bridging the gap between constitutional ideals and practical implementation requires a **paradigm shift**—not only in legal and institutional terms but also in political culture and public ethics. The following strategic imperatives emerge as essential:

- **Institutional Reform:** Simplify participatory procedures, standardize consultation practices, and ensure that participatory mechanisms are embedded within the policy-making process, not treated as optional add-ons.



- **Political Leadership:** Foster a political culture that values openness, dialogue, and responsiveness. This includes supporting public officials who champion participation and penalizing practices of tokenism.
- **Capacity Building:** Train public servants, CSOs, and citizens on participatory methods and tools. Build technical and human capacity at all levels of government.
- **Inclusion and Equity:** Develop specific strategies to engage marginalized groups, including women, youth, and rural populations. Participation must be inclusive if it is to be truly democratic.
- **Evaluation and Feedback Loops:** Establish mechanisms to assess the outcomes of participatory processes and incorporate citizen feedback into future reforms. Participation should be a **cycle**, not a **moment**.

Participatory governance is not a destination but a **continuous process of negotiation, learning, and institutional evolution**. In the Moroccan context, the constitutional architecture offers a promising foundation, but its vitality depends on the willingness of all actors—state, civil society, and citizens—to move beyond formality and embrace a shared democratic ethic.

If properly nurtured, participatory governance can become a pillar of **democratic consolidation**, promoting not only more responsive institutions but also a more engaged, empowered, and resilient citizenry. But if it remains confined to the realm of constitutional aspiration without substantive implementation, it risks becoming another unfulfilled promise of reform.

### **Bibliography:**

1. **Moroccan Constitution of 2011.** (2011). *Official Bulletin*, No. 5964 bis, July 30, 2011. Retrieved from <http://www.sgg.gov.ma>
2. **Organic Law No. 44.14 on Petitions to Public Authorities.** (2016). *Official Bulletin*, No. 6420, March 18, 2016.
3. **Organic Law No. 64.14 on Legislative Motions.** (2016). *Official Bulletin*, No. 6420, March 18, 2016.
4. **Organic Law No. 113.14 on Municipalities.** (2015). *Official Bulletin*, No. 6380, July 2, 2015.
5. **Law No. 31.13 on the Right of Access to Information.** (2018). *Official Bulletin*, No. 6655, March 12, 2018.
6. Avritzer, L. (2009). *Participatory Institutions in Democratic Brazil*. Johns Hopkins University Press.





7. Baiocchi, G. (2005). *Militants and Citizens: The Politics of Participatory Democracy in Porto Alegre*. Stanford University Press.
8. Cabannes, Y. (2004). Participatory budgeting: A significant contribution to participatory democracy. *Environment and Urbanization*, 16(1), 27–46.
9. Goldfrank, B. (2006). Lessons from Latin American Experience in Participatory Budgeting. In *Participatory Budgeting in Latin America* (pp. 91–126). Inter-American Development Bank.
10. Novy, A., & Leubolt, B. (2005). Participatory budgeting in Porto Alegre: Social innovation and the dialectical relationship of state and civil society. *Urban Studies*, 42(11), 2023–2036.
11. Sintomer, Y., Herzberg, C., & Röcke, A. (2008). Participatory budgeting in Europe: Potentials and challenges. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 32(1), 164–178.
12. Wampler, B. (2007). *Participatory Budgeting in Brazil: Contestation, Cooperation, and Accountability*. Penn State Press.
13. Gilman, H. R. (2016). *Engaging Citizens: Participatory Budgeting and the Inclusive Governance Movement within the United States*. Ash Center for Democratic Governance and Innovation, Harvard Kennedy School.
14. Ganuza, E., & Baiocchi, G. (2012). The power of ambiguity: How participatory budgeting travels the globe. *Journal of Public Deliberation*, 8(2), Article 8.